UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/768,431	01/30/2004	Deborah Lewandowski Barclay	LUC-463/Barclay 12-10-6-9	8752
47382 Carmen Patti La	7590 12/13/201 aw Group, LLC	EXAMINER		
One N. LaSalle Street			AJIBADE AKONAI, OLUMIDE	
44th Floor Chicago, IL 606	502		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
C ,			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/13/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination
10/768,431	BARCLAY ET AL. Art Unit
CHARLES N. APPIAH	2617

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Revie	ew filed 15 November 2010.
 Improper Request – The Request is improper and reason(s): 	a conference will not be held for the following
☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concurre☐ The request does not include reasons why a revious ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the Pre-☐ Other:	ew is appropriate.
The time period for filing a response continues to run from the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Notice	
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interference held. The application remains under appeal because there is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with 3 brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decise running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whicheve appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the notice of appeal, as applicable.	re is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal sion, or the balance of the two-month time period er is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the
The panel has determined the status of the clair Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	m(s) is as follows:
3. Allowable application – A conference has been he Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits rema applicant at this time.	
4. ■ Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been he action will be mailed. No further action is required by app	
All participants:	
(1) <u>CHARLES N. APPIAH</u> .	(3) <u>OLUMIDE AJIBADE AKONAI</u> .
(2) <u>NICK CORSARO</u> . ((4)
/Charles N. Appiah/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617	